

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. MEMC TP-01-2450 07/08/2003 Robert J. Falster 10/615,127 (3009)EXAMINER 01/06/2005 HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 16TH FLOOR 1765 ST LOUIS, MO 63102

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/615,127	FALSTER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Felisa C. Hiteshew	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a left within the statutory minimum of thired will expire SIX (6) MON tute. cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ TI Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. vance except for formal mat	
Disposition of Claims	·	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 20 and 21 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 7-19 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to he drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). I(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have beer eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/615,127.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,127 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

Information Disclosure Statement

The PTOL 1449 has been received, reviewed and considered.

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: In Figure 1, item #11. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement-drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the examiner does not accept the changes, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Application/Control Number: 10/615,127

Art Unit: 1765

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mule'Stagno, et al.

Mule'Stagno, et al. teaches a process for the preparation of a single crystal silicon wafer having a controlled oxygen precipitation behavior. The process comprises selecting a wafer which is sliced form a single crystal silicon grown by the Czochralski method comprising a front surface, a back surface, a central plane between the front and back surfaces, a front surface layer which comprises the region of the wafer between the front surface and a distance, D, measured from the front surface and toward the central plane, a bulk layer which comprises the region of the wafer between the central plane and the front surface layer. The process also comprises subjecting the wafer to a heat-treatment to form crystal lattice vacancies in the front surface and bulk layers. The heat-treated wafer is cooled at a rate that produces a vacancy concentration profile in the wafer wherein the peak density of vacancies is in the bulk layer with the concentration generally decreasing from the location of the peak density in the direction of the front surface of the wafer and the difference in the concentration of vacancies in the front surface and bulk layers is such that stabilized oxygen

Application/Control Number: 10/615,127

Art Unit: 1765

precipitate nucleation centers do not form in the front surface layer and stabilized oxygen precipitate nucleation centers are formed in the bulk layer with the concentration of the stabilized oxygen precipitate nucleation centers in the bulk layer being primarily dependent upon the concentration of vacancies. (See column 4, lines 29-61).

Mule'Stagno, et al. also teaches that the wafer is cooled from a first temperature T1. to a second temperature T2 at a rate, R. The stabilized oxygen precipitation nucleation centers being incapable of are dissolved at a temperature less than about 1150*C, but capable of being dissolved at a temperature between about 1150*C and about 1300*C, T1 is between about 1150*C and about 1300*C, T%2 is a temperature at which the crystal lattice vacancies are relatively immobile in silicon, and R is at least about 5*C per second, etc. (See column 2, lines 45-68).

The difference being that Mule'Stagno, et al. does not exactly teach an annealing temperature Ta to an upper nucleation temperature Tu. However, in the absence of unobvious results, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify and optimize the process parameter limitation of Mule'Stagno, et al. in order to ensure proper orientation. The motivation being that Mule'Stagno, et al. T1 and T2 temperature ranges encompass the annealing and upper nucleation temperature ranges of the instant invention.

A reference is good not only for what it teaches by direct anticipation but also for what one of ordinary skill might reasonably infer from the teachings. In re Opprect 12 USPQ 2d 1235, 1236 (CAFC 1989); In re Bode 193 USPQ 12; In re Lamberti 192 USPQ 278; In re Bozek 163 USPQ 545, 549 (CCPA 1969); In re Van Mater 144 USPQ

Art Unit: 1765

421; In re Jacoby 135 USPQ 317; In re LeGrice 133 USPQ 365; In re Preda 159 USPQ 342 (CCPA 1968).

Expected beneficial results are evidence of obviousness, just as unexpected beneficial results are evidence of unobviousness. In re Novak 16 USPQ 2d 2041 (Fed. Cir., BPAI 1989); In re Hoffman 194 USPQ 126 (CCPA 1977); In re Skoll 187 USPQ 481 (CCPA 1975); In re Skoner 186 USPQ 80 (CCPA 1975); In re Garshon 152 USPQ 602 (CCPA 1967).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 20 and 21 are allowed.
- 6. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).
- 7. Claims 7-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (571) 272-1463. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 5:30 AM to 3:00 PM. and second Fridays from 5:30 AM to 2:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine Norton, can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,127

Art Unit: 1765

273-1463.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866- 217-9197 (toll-free).

FELISA HITESHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 6

194176S